

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,704	12/07/2005	Taisuke Hirooka	60303.55/ok	1845
54070 HITACHI ME	7590 08/04/2011 TALS, LTD.	EXAMINER		
C/O KEATING	G & BENNETT, LLP	GARCIA, CARLOS E		
1800 Alexando SUITE 200	er Bell Drive	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Reston, VA 20	0191		2627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com pmedley@kbiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/559,704	HIROOKA ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	CARLOS E. GARCIA	2627			

	CARLOS E. GARCIA	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavil eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of eletermining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the pro	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further continuous forms.	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment (PTOL-224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (102-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 23.25-39.44-46. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Daniell L Negron/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2627	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments as presented by Applicant are not convincing. The arguments were discussed during the interview held on 7/14/2011. Specifically, since the Applicant does not clearly define the definition of the term "opening" in relation to the intermediate layer as claimed. Furthermore, a comparison between the figures of the prior art references used in the final rejection, and the figures of the instant application, would clearly show one of ordinary skill in the art, that at least the language of claim 23 as currently presented reads on the figures of the prior art references. Therefore, the arguments as presented by the Applicant are not convincing and the final rejection is maintained.